

United States Patent and Trademark Office

R

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,694	03/24/2004	Harri Okkonen	15620US02	4407
23446	7590 11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			CHOW, CHIH CHING	
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,694	OKKONEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chih-Ching Chow	2192	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) in will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____. Chih-Ching Chow Examiner Art Unit: 2192

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS, element 145 (Wireless Infrastructure) has been added, to support the description in paragraphs 0045, 0046, 0050, and 0051. AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION, the amendment further added the wireless infrastructure 145 to reflect the amended Figure 1. Both the AMENDMENTS to DRAWINGS and SPECIFICATIONS are acceptable by the Examiner. In Response to the argument in REMARKS pages 11-16 (35 U.S.C. 102 (e) and 103 Rejections), the Applicants argued mainly in a. Aghera's teaching "does not use a plurality of update agents" (see REMARKS page 13, line9; and page 14, first paragraph); and b. "Applicants' claim 1, this action by the electronic device provides parameters and data to the plurality of update agents in the electronic device. Applicants' claim 1 does not recite sending negotiation parameter values to the server from the electronic device" (see REMARKS, page 13, lines 23-25)—For item a, please refer to Office Action dated 08/10/2005, page 4, item b, "Aghera teaches "patching APIs", which install the patch on the devices" — the patching APIs have the same function as "update agents", therefore Aghera's teaching does use a plurality of update agents. As to item b, please see Aghera's paragraph 0024, "The wireless devices 12 can be any type of wireless device, such as a mobile communication device, e.g., a cell phone, a personal digital assistant, etc. The wireless device 12 has a processor, such as a digital signal processor (DSP) and associated software that allows the device 12 to perform predetermined functions." - wherein the predetermined functions can be functions that 'adapted to provision the plurality of update agents with parameters and data used to facilitate update operations in the electronic device" as mentioned in claim1 of current application.

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Homegwantony byry